



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AF/3634  
RECEIVED

OCT 25 2000

TO 3600 MAIL ROOM

First Named Applicant: Rillie

Serial No.: 09/376,461

Filed: August 18, 1999

For: SKYLIGHT FLASHING

) Art Unit: 3634

) Examiner: Cohen

) 1128.006A

) October 19, 2000

) 750 B Street, Suite 3120

) San Diego, CA 92101

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR - RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated October 10, 2000, enclosed herewith are the following:

- (1) A Transmittal Letter for - Response to Office Action in one page with Certificate of Mailing;
- (2) A response in 3 pages;
- (3) An acknowledgment postcard.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Rogitz, Atty. of Record, Reg. No. 33,549  
750 "B" Street, Suite 3120, San Diego, CA 92101  
Telephone: (619) 338-8075

JLR:jg  
Enclosures

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service, First Class Mail, postage fully prepaid, under 37 CFR 1.8, addressed to Box AF, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on 10/20, 2000.

Date Signed: 10/20, 2000

JOHN L. ROGITZ, Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 33,549



10/25 R M

RECEIVED

OCT 25 2000

TO 3600 MAIL ROOM

5/13  
(initials)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Applicant: Rillie

Serial No.: 09/376,461

Filed: August 18, 1999

For: SKYLIGHT FLASHING

) Art Unit: 3634  
)

) Examiner: Cohen  
)

) 1128.006A  
)

) October 19, 2000  
) 750 B STREET, Suite 3120  
) San Diego, CA 92101  
)

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated October 10, 2000, please amend the above-captioned patent application as follows:

In the Claims:

✓ Please cancel Claim 5.

This amendment removes the Section 112 rejection, leaving only the anticipation rejection of Claims 1-9 based on the present assignee's own Chao patent, and the obviousness rejection based on DeBlock et al., Hoy et al., and Strieter. Applicant intends to appeal this case upon receipt of an Advisory Action, should one issue.

OK TO  
ENTER  
10/26/00  
JPS